Defining Quality Indicators to Improve Practice (Transforming Care at the Bedside)

Patricia A. Moloney-Harmon, RN, MS, CCNS, CCRN, FAAN The Children's Hospital at Sinai Baltimore, MD USA

Greetings from Baltimore



What Is Quality?

"The degree to which health services for individuals and populations increase the likelihood of desired health outcomes and are consistent with professional knowledge."

Institute of Medicine, 1998



What Is Quality?

* Quality Health Care

- * Saf e
- * Timely
- * Effective
- * Efficient
- * Equit able
- * Pat ient cent er ed



Institute of Medicine, 2001

What is Quality Improvement?

- * Is an organizational philosophy/belief
- * Looks to meet patients' needs and exceed expect at ions with a minimum of effort, rework and waste,
- Uses a structured process to continually identify and improve all aspects of care and service.

Philosophy

- Collaborative relationships essential to service excellence.
- * Patient needs and system efficiencies come first.
- * Care givers are account able.
- * Patients and families are empowered.

What is Quality Improvement

- * Quality improvement is based on several premises, including:
 - * Employees want to do a good job,
 - * Syst ems and processes of care produce out comes, not individuals,
 - * Focus on meeting the needs of patients rather than the needs of the organization,
 - * Dat a from formal problem-solving methods and statistical tools drives decision-making.

What is Quality Improvement?

- * Integral part of providing care
- * Efforts to prevent infection, reduce complications, promote patient safety, and institute best practice is resulting in significant changes in daily practice.
- Significant impact on improving patient care and promoting quality out comes

Quality of Care Initiatives

- * Clinical and/ or cust omer service in nat ure
- * Reflect opportunities for improvement
- * High-risk, high-volume, problem-prone
- * Out comes

Quality of Care Initiatives

- * Strategies to prevent:
 - * VAP
 - * BSI related to CVC
 - * Sepsis
 - * Medication errors



- Rapid ResponseTeams
 - Bringing critical critical care to the bedside
 - * Failure to recognize a deterior at ing patient leads to failure to rescue
 - * Teams that respond to a deterior ating patient leads to improved survival



- Reduction of Medication Errors
 - * Leading cause of injury to children in hospit al
 - * Poor communication at transition points responsible for large number of medication errors and adverse drug events



- * Ventilator Associated Pneumonia
 - * Second most nosocomial infection
 - * Increases time spent on ventilator, length of stay in ICU, length of hospital stay
 - * Children hospitals are developing evidencebased interventions to decrease occurrence of VAP
 - * Ventilator bundles

- * Central Line Infections
 - * Common nosocomial infection in the PI CU
 - * Practices that prevent central line infections
- * Surgical Site Infections
 - * Increase length of stay, mortality, readmission rate, costs
 - * Surgical site infection prevention measures effective in adult critical care indicated in pediatric surgical care.

Indicators

* A quality indicator is a policy, program, protocol, standard, guideline, assessment measure, or other evaluation tool that shows there is reason to believe measures are in place to assure a high level of care is provided.



Indicators

- * PICU Standardized Mortality Ratio
- * PI CU Severity-Adjusted Length of Stay
- * PI CU Unplanned Readmissions
- * Pl CU Pain Assessment
- * PI CU Medication Safety Practice
- * PI CU Central Line Infection Prevention www.pedigs.com

PICU Indicators

- * Patient satisfaction (inpatient)
- * PICU readmissions
- * Ventilator days in the PICU
- * Unplanned extubations
- * Infection control



PICU Indicators

- * Medication occurrences
- * Pediatric asthma outcomes
 - * Length of stay
 - * Readmissions
 - * Mortality
 - * Complication rate
- * Mislabeled specimens
- * Documentation-PICU
- * Central Line Infections
- * Documentation of pain assessment

Nurse-Sensitive Indicators

- Ref lect the structure, process and out comes of nursing care
- * Structure
 - * Supply of nursing staff
 - * Skill level of nursing staff
 - * Education/certification of nursing staff
- * Process
 - * Assessment
 - * Intervention
 - * RN job satisfaction

Nursing-Sensitive Quality Indicators for Acute Care Settings

- * Mix of RNs, LPNs, and Unlicensed Staff Caring for Patients in Acute Care Settings
- * Total Nursing Care Hours Provided per Patient Day
- Patient Satisfaction with Pain Management
- * Pressure Ulcers
- * Patient Falls

Nursing-Sensitive Quality Indicators for Acute Care Settings

- Nurse Staff Satisfaction
- Patient Satisfaction with Educational Information
- Patient Satisfaction with Overall Care
- Patient Satisfaction with Nursing Care
- Nosocomial Infection Rate

American Nurses Association, 1998

Outcomes

- Health outcomes end result of specific care processes
- * "Change in patient's current and future health status that can be attributed to antecedent health care."
- Five "D's death, disease, disability, discomf ort, dissatisf action
- Results produced by the interplay of care processes, structural elements, and inherent patient physiological and psychosocial-economic characteristics

Outcomes

* Should be "relevant to the individual's goals in seeking care, the institution's social contract in providing care, and the society's value and understanding of elements relevant to the public as well as private health." Mitchell, 1993

Nurse-Sensitive Outcomes

- * Makes nursing visible
- Define a dynamic patient or family caregiver state, condition, or perception that is responsive to nursing interventions (Curley, 2001)
- * Also defined as nurse-sensitive if improvement is seen with greater quality or quantity of nursing care (NDNQI, 2000)

How does quality improvement make a difference for PICU patients?

- * Nurse-st af fing levels and the quality of care in hospitals, Needleman, Buerhaus, Mattke, et al, 2002, NEJM.
 - * Examined the relationship between the amount of care provided by nurses at the hospital and patient outcomes
 - * 799 hospit al in 11 st at es (5,075,969 medical discharges and 1,104,659 sur gical discharges)

- * Mean number of hours of nursing care was 11.4
 - *RN 7.8
 - * LPN 1.2
 - * Aides 2.4

- * 14 adverse outcomes
 - * LOS, UTI, pressure ulcers, hospitalacquired sepsis, DVT, CNS complications, in-hospital death, failure to rescue, wound infection, pulmonary failure, metabolic derangement

- * Medical patients
 - *Higher proportion of care provided by RNs
 - *↓LOS
 - * ↓ UTI and GI bleeds
 - * pneumonia, shock, cardiac arrest, "failure to rescue"

- * Surgical patients
 - *Higher proportion of care provided by RNs
 - * ↓ UTI
 - * U "failure to rescue

* Conclusion

* "A higher proportion of hours of nursing care provided by RNs and greater number of hours of care by RNs per day are associated with better care for hospitalized patients"



- * Hospit al nurse st af fing and patient mort ality, nurse burnout and job dissatisf action. Aiken, Clarke, Sloane, 2002, JAMA
 - * To determine the association between patient -to-nurse ratio and patient mortality, failure to rescue among surgical patients, and factors related to nurse retention

- * 168 hospit als
- * 10,184 st aff nurses interviewed
- * 232,342 discharged general, orthopedic, and vascular surgery patients
- * Risk-adjust ed pat ient mort alit y and failure to rescue within 30 days of admission, nursereport ed job dissat isf act ion and job-relat ed bur nout

- Each additional patient assigned to a nurse resulted in a:
 - * * 30-day patient mortality increases by 7%,
 - * * failure-to rescue rates increase by 7%,
 - * * the odds of nursing job dissatisf action increase by 15%
 - * the odds of nurse burnout increase by 23%.
- * When nurses had eight patients instead of four, their patients had a 31% higher chance of dying within 30 days of admission.

- * Nurse staffing and unplanned extubation in the PICU, Marcin, Rutan, Rapetti, et al., 2005
 - * 1,004 pat ient s
 - * 55 experienced unplanned extubations
 - * Document at ion of patient agit at ion
 - *Nurse-to-patient ratio

* Conclusion:

Pediatric patients are more likely to experience an unplanned extubation when being cared for by a nurse assigned to 2 patients compared with a nurse caring for one patient



- Pressure ulcers in pediatric intensive care: I ncidence and associated factors. Curley, Quigley, Lin, 2003
 - * 322 patients, 21 days to 8 years, on bedrest for at least 24 hours

- Pressure ulcers in pediatric intensive care: I ncidence and associated factors. Curley, Quigley, Lin, 2003
 - * 27% (86) developed 199 pressure ulcers
 - * Stage I 70% (139)
 - * Stage II 27% (54)
 - * Stage III 3% (6)
 - * 60 Stage II/III, 32% (19) involved head

- * Continuous quality improvement: Reducing unplanned extubations in a pediatric intensive care unit. Sadowski R, Dechert RE, Bandy KP, June J, Bhatt-Mehta V, Custer JR, Moler FW, Bratton SL, 2004
 - * Assess incidence and patient conditions associated with unplanned extubations and evaluate whether targeted interventions reduced rate

- Demogrpahic and clinical information collected
- * Educational sessions
- * Care management protocols
- Monit or ing program

- * Associated with longer length of mechanical ventilation and length of stay
- High risk patients (younger)
- Low risk for subsequent reint ubation (weaning patients)
- QI program contributed to reduction in rate over 5 year period.

- Drawing on resources (literature, benchmarking studies, & colleagues) design & evaluate innovations in clinical practice affecting patients, populations &/ or systems.
- Develop evaluation criteria for individual & population-based patient care.
- Develop & conduct studies to evaluate
 - * patient care issues/ problems
 - * product s/ t echnology
 - * cost/benefit ratios

- * Plan recognize an opport unit y and plan a change
- * Do. Test the change. Carry out a small scale study.
- Study. Review the test, analyze the results and identify what you've learned.
- * Act. Take action based on what you learned in the study step.

- * Higher number of central line infections not ed
- * Multidisciplinary group convened nursing, neonatology, infection control, pharmacy, respiratory therapy, housekeeping
- Root cause analysis performed

- * Results of root cause analysis
 - * Central line audit tool based on a literature review CDC guidelines for the Prevention of Intravascular Catheter-Related Infections
 - * Observation of practice noncompliance with procedure, both insertion and maint enance
 - * Procedure revised

- Development of multidisciplinary education plan
 - * Brief description of best practice
 - * Review of audit data
 - * Procedural changes
 - * Strict sterile technique with insertion
 - * I ncorporation of Biopat ch antimicrobial dressing
 - * Use of more occlusive dressing
 - * Prohibit wearing artificial fingernails

- Other system issues identified
 - * Not all equipment available changes in supply cart
 - * Noncompliance is not an option
- Education implemented
- Follow up audits showed marked improvement

Pediatric Asthma

- * Development of clinical practice guidelines to increase
 - * Treat ment effectiveness
 - * Resource use efficiency
 - * Order standardization
 - * Healt hcare provider account ability
 - * Evidence-based decision making
 - * Provision of a measurement reference to assess future refinements in treatment protocols

Pediatric Asthma

- * Respiratory therapist driven protocols
- * Discharge education
- * Decreased LOS and readmission rate



This document was created with Win2PDF available at http://www.win2pdf.com. The unregistered version of Win2PDF is for evaluation or non-commercial use only. This page will not be added after purchasing Win2PDF.